

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTE ON לולא, Ps. xxvII. 13, &c.

The Rev. I. Harris cited in this Review, vol. I, p. 240, note i, two or three instances of words in which the usual punctuation represents two variant readings. A similar explanation had suggested itself to me, before I had read that article, of the peculiar dots above and beneath אלול, Ps. xxvii. 13. The fact that this is the only instance of dots beneath letters, coupled with the Massoretic note that the must have a dot beneath, but not at the top, leads to the assumption that the word represents two variants, viz. אלול, which is neither easier nor more difficult to interpret than the whole word as it is before us, and אל, which gives obviously an excellent and readily intelligible sense. Possibly then, the first half of the word was originally dotted beneath only, and the latter half only from above, so as to indicate the elimination of either the one half or the other. (It would probably be going too far to assume that the astounding punctuation DDD or בּיִהַלְּכָּוֹל עַלְיִבִי צְּרַוֹלְּכָּוֹל עַלְיִבְי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְי עַרִי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְי עִרִי עָרִיךְ עַלְי יְבִי עָרִיִבְּי עִרִים עַרְיִב עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְּי עַרְיִבְי עָרִיבְי עַרְיִבְי עַרְיִבְי עִרִי עָרִי עָרִי

Another instance of a variant reading between \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and \$\frac{1}{2}\$ may be in Job xli. 4. Dillmann observes that \$\frac{1}{2}\$ is too prosaic, and that the reading \$\frac{1}{2}\$ rendered "should I be silent of him?" is no improvement. But is not the reading \$\frac{1}{2}\$ possible, if perhaps not an improvement, if rendered "O that I could be silent of, or contemplate in mute astonishment" (cp. Gen. xxiv. 21)? Baer, in his critical edition of Job, prints in the marginal Massorah ; but it is a question whether he had any authority for so pointing the word, and if he had any MS. so pointed, the authority of that authority is open to criticism.

For variants of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and \$\frac{1}{2}\$ see Massorah magna to Lev. xi. 21; also Norzi in Minchath Shay on Ps. c. 3.

M. BERLIN.